

Gary Vanderboegh

Subject: FW: REQUEST FOR JFT BENEFICIARY ASSEST DOCUMENTS HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW BELOW!

Attachments: DONNAS COPY OF PRIEST LETTER 11-14-17 NOT PROVIDED TO GVB_REDUCE.pdf;
DONNA PURYEAR COPY OF BOK LETTER DATED 11-29-17 REGARDING ONE HAND WRITTEN TICKET MISSING.pdf

Importance: High

From: Gary Vanderboegh

Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 5:15 PM

To: 'M Jones' <mjones32k@msn.com>; 'Mark Vander Boegh' <mvanderboegh@gmail.com>; 'cjones2424@charter.net' <cjones2424@charter.net>; Wes Knight Sr. BOK Sr. Vice President-Trustee (wknight@bokf.com) <wknight@bokf.com>; 'lisakathleenprice@gmail.com' <lisakathleenprice@gmail.com>; 'allen@allenpriestcpa.com' <allen@allenpriestcpa.com>

Cc: 'Knight, Wesley' <WKnight@bokf.com>; 'UN-NAMED BENEFICIARY Kimberly Faith Jones (kimberlyfaithjones@gmail.com)' <kimberlyfaithjones@gmail.com>; 'BOK CEO & PRESIDENT STEVE BRADSHAW (sbradshaw@bokf.com)' <sbradshaw@bokf.com>; 'lisakathleenprice@gmail.com' <lisakathleenprice@gmail.com>; 'GLENN JONES (gjones19483@gmail.com)' <gjones19483@gmail.com>; 'Brian Vander Boegh (Bvanderboegh@aol.com)' <Bvanderboegh@aol.com>; 'NAMED BENEFICIARY KYM BICHON (kbichon@gmail.com)' <kbichon@gmail.com>; 'DONNA KAY WRAY (d_ray803@hotmail.com)' <d_ray803@hotmail.com>; 'JEFF SESSIONS ASKDOJ (askdoj@jmdpublic.doj.gov)' <askdoj@jmdpublic.doj.gov>; 'President Trump and VP Mike Pence (info@mail.whitehouse.gov)' <info@mail.whitehouse.gov>; 'CHICAGO FBI OFFICE (chicago@ic.fbi.gov)' <chicago@ic.fbi.gov>; FBI Director Christopher Wray (chicago@ic.fbi.gov) <chicago@ic.fbi.gov>

Subject: RE: REQUEST FOR JFT BENEFICIARY ASSEST DOCUMENTS HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW BELOW!

Importance: High

Wes McKnight, Moira (ONB "Mole"), Chuck, Kimberly, and Lisa (MMM "Mole"),

IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 77 OF SUBCHAPTER 8 OF KRS SECTION 386B, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT ANY CLAIMS AGAINST BOKF NA DBA BANK OF OKLAHOMA AS TRUSTEE ARISING OUT OF ANY EVENTS OR ACTIONS DETAILED IN THIS LETTER WILL BE BARRED UNLESS RAISED WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR.

Official Notice to Mr. Wesley McKnight Trustee of the Bank of Oklahoma (BOK)! The "1 year statute of limitation" for suing BOK does not "Toll", until BOK explains why they WILL NOT produce a copy of the ONLY missing truck ticket "allegedly" located by a "auditor" who was exclusively selected due to his close ties to Mr. Bilby. Until John Bilby and Wesley McKnight provide a copy of the missing hand written ticket (s), the Bank of Oklahoma is considered in "violation of their fiduciary duties by ALL un-named NEW beneficiaries and Moira Jones, with exception of Donna Kay Wray!

- Bottom of Page 9: There was a handwritten ticket on 12/30/15 for 9 tons, but the Priest Report shows that payment for this tonnage was not paid because 2016 was not included in Mr. Priest's review. Lafarge may owe a royalty for this amount or the Trusts may have been paid these tons at the beginning of 2016. Lafarge will need to provide evidence of payment.

V. Lease Re-Opener

Bank of Oklahoma is currently working on an agreement with Lafarge with regard to the reopener, which we expect will be concluded within the next 30 days. Bank of Oklahoma will promptly notify the beneficiaries once it is concluded.

Sincerely,



Wesley Knight
Senior Vice President
Market Manager – Personal Trust
BOKF, NA dba Bank of Oklahoma

Mr. McKnight, and other "unnamed" new JFT beneficiaries and Moira Jones, please note your comments made to the entire family on 11/29/17. If you are now concerned there were more than one "handwritten ticket", now is the time for you followers to come clean! Can I assume you stand by your original statement,

"There was a handwritten ticket on 12/30/15 for 9 tons, I Remember, this is Donna Puryear's copy and did include "Mr. Priest's November 14th, 2017 letter and attachments you failed to provide to me! For the record, both Jimmy B. Jones and Donna Puryear can read and NOW know that you Mr. McKnight said "ONE (a) HANDWRITTEN TICKET", right? NOW PRODUCE THE "MISSING" HAND WRITTEN TICKET (OR HAVE KIMBERLY, LISA, RHONDA, CHUCK, AND MOIRA HELP YOU BY SAYING IT IS "NOT MATERIAL!"

IN THE MEANTIME, "STOP" YOUR BOK HIRED GUN, JOHN BILBY" FROM FURTHER OFFERING \$200,000 TO "WAIVE" THE "NON-MONETARY" BREACH. IT CAN'T BE CORRECTED NOW BECAUSE IT WAS NOT CORRECTED WITHIN THE 30 DAY "NON-MONETARY LEASE REQUIRMENTS CLEARLY STATED IN THE 1988 AMENDMENT. YOU SHOULD REALIZE BY NOW, JOHN BILBY'S "BREACH WAIVING DAYS ARE OVER!"

that warrant further investigation. Bank of Oklahoma has informed Lafarge of these issues and expects the issues to be fully addressed. Bank of Oklahoma is mindful of the language of the Lease and is attempting to enter into an agreement with Lafarge which will ensure the Trusts can continue to accept royalty payments and preserve the Trusts' ability to assert causes of action against Lafarge, should the issues in the Priest Report not be resolved to Bank of Oklahoma's satisfaction. Bank of Oklahoma will promptly inform all beneficiaries if the Trusts refuse to accept royalty payments from Lafarge.

THAT EVEN DONNA PURYEAR, JASON JONES, JIMMY JONES REALIZE THE CURRENT IS INVALID AND A NEW OPERATOR IS ON THE WAY! THIS NOTICE IS PRESENTED BY ALL NAMED JONES FAMILY BENEFICIARIES EXCEPT THE BANKERS "MOLES" KIMBERLY FAITH JONES, LISA PRICE, AND MOIRA JONES!

GARY S. VANDER BOEGH

MARK VANDER BOEGH

GLENN JONES

PS "DONNA PURYEAR'S BOK 11/29/17 LETTER HAS BEEN UPLOADED TO THE CES WEB SITE!

Wesley Knight
Market Manager -- Personal Trust
Direct Line 405.936.3929

November 29, 2017

Re: Jones Family Trusts

Jones Trust Family Beneficiaries:

NOVEMBER
Done Knight



BANK OF OKLAHOMA
THE PRIVATE BANK

Bank of Oklahoma
9520 N. May Avenue, Suite 200
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73120

Allen Priest

W. Allen Priest CPA PLLC

Certified Public Accountant, Financial Coach, and Business Consultant
PO Box 436787
Louisville, KY 40253

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

November 14, 2017

Jones Trust
Bank of Oklahoma Trustee
ATTN: Denise Cramer
PO Box 2300
Tulsa, OK 74012-2300

established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Member
American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
Kentucky Society of Certified Public Accountants

Phone 502-493-6205
Fax 502-493-6249
E mail allen@allenpriestcpa.com

Jones Trust
November 14, 2017
Page 9

We were unable to trace the tonnage from load 2304-1 barge LAF219 loaded on November 26, 2014, to the sales listing for 2014. The trustee may wish for the operator to demonstrate where and what tonnage was included in the royalty calculation for this shipment.

We were unable to trace the tonnage from load 2304-2 barge MMB3514 loaded on November 26, 2014, to the sales listing for 2014. The trustee may wish for the operator to demonstrate where and what tonnage was included in the royalty calculation for this shipment.

We were unable to trace the tonnage from load 2304-3 barge MM3527 loaded on November 26, 2014, to the sales listing for 2014. The trustee may wish for the operator to demonstrate where and what tonnage was included in the royalty calculation for this shipment.

8. Verify adjustments to add back any deductions taken on weigh tickets as described in step 7 to the royalty reports for the months in which days were selected in step 2.

We noted that the royalty calculations included an amount added back for the 45 ton adjustment deducted from the weight on loads shipped to the operator's own storage yards.

9. Obtain a list of truck scale ticket numbers issued by the quarry operator for the period from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015.

We obtained a list of truck scale numbers from the quarry operator which appeared to include all the number series that we found in the boxes of paper tickets.

10. Select a sample of 60 from the truck scale tickets numbers from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015. Obtain the paper tickets from the quarry operator. Verify the tickets are signed by the driver. Verify the computation of the shipment weight by subtracting the full and tare weights. Record the customer number, product code, and tonnage listed on the ticket.

We used the sales listing to haphazardly select weigh tickets which we then took to find the paper ticket, then we selected paper tickets to trace back to the sales listing. We used this process to select the 60 sample items. In each case we verified that the ticket was signed by the driver. We verified the computation of the shipment weight by subtracting the full and tare weights. We recorded the customer number, product code and tonnage as listed on the ticket.

11. Trace the tonnage reported to the quarry operator's accounting system reports.

We traced the tonnage from each sample item to the quarry operator's accounting system reports with the following exceptions:

Ticket 47680 dated 12/30/15 was a hand-written ticket for 9 tons. It appears that this was

posted into 2016 business and was not included in the 2015 royalty calculation.

12. Trace the total tonnage from the accounting system reports to the quarry operator's computation of the Trust's royalties for each month in which there is a sample item.

Since the quarry operator's accounting system reports covered the entire year for 2014 and 2015, we traced the total tonnage from the accounting system report to total tonnage reported on royalty payments for the entire year. ☺

13. Verify the mathematical accuracy of the quarry operator's computation of the Trust's royalty for each month in the period by verifying the royalty rate used in the calculation and re-performing the quarry operator's calculation of the royalty due.

We verified the mathematical accuracy of the quarry operator's computation of the Trust's royalty for the years 2014 and 2015 by verifying the royalty rate used in the calculation and re-performing the quarry operator's calculation of the royalty due.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the verification of the quarry tonnage reported by the operator of the Three Rivers Quarry for the period from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Trustees of the Jones Trust, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



W. Allen Priest CPA PLLC

~~~~~

Interesting! So Moria, how many "hand written" tickets did John Bilby and Wes McKnight tell you that BOK found in their letter dated November 29, 2017? Even Dr. Phil can read, right? Can your BOK attorney friends help you and your NEW unnamed beneficiary cousin answer "how many hand written tickets Bilby's best friend said were found, "ONLY ONE HAND WRITTEN BY BECKY G RIGHT? Evidence concealed by John Bilby, Right? BOK certification that the "ONE MILE RADIUS" reserves are essential to continue income, Right? The Ky. Supreme Court granting of riverfront access does not give any new operator access to the Cumberland River, Right? Unborn heirs have "rights" that allows BOK to waive ALL contract/lease breaches, Right? BOK issued a "monetary" breach after realizing the shipment of limestone off site without payment was actually a "non-monetary" breach, Right? BOK performed an appraisal by hiring George

Reddin, Consultant for Rodgers group, Right? BOK performed the alleged appraisal with an independent group that valued the Three Rivers Quarry at \$18 Million, Right? John Bilby can never be held accountable for his conflict of interest, Right? Were you not Bob Stayman's Old National Bank "Mole", Right? Aren't you the ONLY 50 year "named" Jones Family Trust beneficiary that met with BOK and then began approving waiving of "non-monetary" lease breaches, Right? Weren't these waivers of lease breaches led by Kimberly, Chuck, Lisa, Rhonda after you learned that OLD NATIONAL BANK PAID A 7 FIGURE SETTLEMENT FOR TRANSPORING 2000 CY OF SOIL OFF JONES LANDS. Right? Weren't you and your "unnamed" beneficiary cousins already aware that based on Federal Judge Thomas Russell's "ruling" that the removal of dirt from Jones lands was a "material breach", Right? Didn't each new JFT beneficiary represented by my attorney each receive a "Six Figure" monetary settlement, Right? Didn't you try to join our lawsuit against Old National Bank before filing of the lawsuit while being Stayman's "Mole", Right? Didn't BOK attorney John Bilby just lie to Jimmy B. Jones within the last two day by telling him, "if you filed a breach notice to remove Lafarge, he would not get a royalty check for 2 years?" Didn't John Bilby, BOK Trustees McKnight, and Rooker, and your new beneficiaries use the new Uniform Trust Code adopted on July 15, 2014 (<https://www.frostbrowntodd.com/resources-1661.html>) to attempt to obtain reimbursement for legal fees after ignoring the clear language of the law, Right? Isn't it now correct that you and the "un-named" do not wish to comply with the NEW July 15, 2014, Chapter 386B, that clearly states the criteria for removal of the Trustee, especially one that you and the others believe should "Continue" to waive all "non-monetary" material breaches to preserve corporate profits over beneficiaries best financial interest, Right? Isn't it true, you, Lisa, Kimberly, Greg, Scottie, Amy, Rhonda, Chuck, and Jeff were collectively promising other beneficiaries a "Big Check" after they get rid of Gary, Right? Do you and the "un-named" new beneficiaries actually believe you can plan "death threats" against me and get away it?

I will take a polygraph, how about you and your group? You would have to be an absolute idiot to believe my evidence will not prove our case you were a thief and a mole. Again, If Amy can get us on Dr. Phil, let's get these polygraphs done early. He likes to have the evidence in hand (as Donna Puryear) saw this week, so make sure your evidence below outweighs the facts. Good hearing from you, again!

Gary

(270) 559-1752

---

**From:** M Jones [<mailto:mjones32k@msn.com>]

**Sent:** Thursday, February 08, 2018 1:04 PM

**To:** Mark Vander Boegh <[mvanderboegh@gmail.com](mailto:mvanderboegh@gmail.com)>; [cjones2424@charter.net](mailto:cjones2424@charter.net)

**Cc:** Gary Vanderboegh <[gvandy@cesllc.net](mailto:gvandy@cesllc.net)>; Knight, Wesley <[WKnight@bokf.com](mailto:WKnight@bokf.com)>; UN-NAMED BENEFICIARY Kimberly Faith Jones ([kimberlyfaithjones@gmail.com](mailto:kimberlyfaithjones@gmail.com)) <[kimberlyfaithjones@gmail.com](mailto:kimberlyfaithjones@gmail.com)>; BOK CEO & PRESIDENT STEVE BRADSHAW ([sbradshaw@bokf.com](mailto:sbradshaw@bokf.com)) <[sbradshaw@bokf.com](mailto:sbradshaw@bokf.com)>; [lisakathleenprice@gmail.com](mailto:lisakathleenprice@gmail.com); GLENN JONES ([gjones19483@gmail.com](mailto:gjones19483@gmail.com)) <[gjones19483@gmail.com](mailto:gjones19483@gmail.com)>; Brian Vander Boegh ([Bvanderboegh@aol.com](mailto:Bvanderboegh@aol.com)) <[Bvanderboegh@aol.com](mailto:Bvanderboegh@aol.com)>; NAMED BENEFICIARY KYM BICHON ([kbichon@gmail.com](mailto:kbichon@gmail.com)) <[kbichon@gmail.com](mailto:kbichon@gmail.com)>; DONNA KAY WRAY ([d\\_ray803@hotmail.com](mailto:d_ray803@hotmail.com)) <[d\\_ray803@hotmail.com](mailto:d_ray803@hotmail.com)>; [JEFF SESSIONS ASKDOJ \(askdoj@jmdpublic.doj.gov\)](mailto:askdoj@jmdpublic.doj.gov) <[askdoj@jmdpublic.doj.gov](mailto:askdoj@jmdpublic.doj.gov)>; President Trump and VP Mike Pence ([info@mail.whitehouse.gov](mailto:info@mail.whitehouse.gov)) <[info@mail.whitehouse.gov](mailto:info@mail.whitehouse.gov)>; [CHICAGO FBI OFFICE \(chicago@ic.fbi.gov\)](mailto:chicago@ic.fbi.gov) <[chicago@ic.fbi.gov](mailto:chicago@ic.fbi.gov)>; C. Dean Furman ([dean@lawdean.com](mailto:dean@lawdean.com)) <[dean@lawdean.com](mailto:dean@lawdean.com)>

**Subject:** Re: REQUEST FOR JFT BENEFICIARY ASSEST DOCUMENTS HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW BELOW!

Chuck, I have to agree with you. I think it's time for everyone to take a look at what started all of this in the first place - going way back. Scroll down on the following article and see anything strikes a chord with anyone. It does a good job of explaining how a severe personality disorder (that may have developed in early

adulthood) can evolve over time until nothing remains except the complete destruction of families and, especially, businesses.

## The Vexatious Litigant

### Risk Assessment and Case Management Issues

**Stephen White, Ph.D.**  
**Fall 2011 Newsletter**

*“The company has refused to address my appeals and is hiding behind lies of eliminating my job due to a reorganization. This is patently false and I will show that the CEO himself is behind this conspiracy to silence me and trample on the rights of suffering employees. SOMEONE MUST CHAMPION THEIR CAUSE. LET IT BE ME! When the time is right I will reveal all the names of those behind this criminal conduct. Heads will roll in high places!!!”*

... From our case files

Everyone has a right to his or her complaints being properly investigated. A difficult scenario facing threat management teams and legal departments is the long-term, obsessively invested “querulous vexatious litigant.” These individuals raise concerns about violence risk given their fixation, demands to be recognized, and increasingly grandiose list of complaints and accompanying insults. On rare occasions these cases can indeed eventuate in violence committed against the grievant’s perceived persecutors and other “obstructionists.” The angry, overtly paranoid or delusional litigant poses monitoring and security issues over an extended period of time.

We frequently consult on these cases to private or public organizations or academia, involving subjects who come to attention due to their communicated threats, bizarre presentations, or increasing agitation and desperation. Even in cases that do not appear to pose a risk, it is important to understand the mentality and course of the puzzling and troubling vexatious litigant, as well as some case management principles.

*A typical scenario*

Anyone in the professions concerned with dispute resolution will eventually encounter the claimant – rigid and suspicious but perhaps initially ingratiating – who often appears with many documents, pleading or demanding that they be read. On inspection the arguments are poorly constructed and often confusing and rambling. Excessive highlighting and underlining are common, as well as many attachments, often of no relevance to the case or touting broad principles of human rights. They may utterly deny obvious undisputed facts known to others. Although they certainly may engage in conscious lying, fundamentally it is more the case of a passionate belief in the truth of their perceptions. Personal blogs, chat room dialogue reinforcing their perceptions, and other internet activity will accompany their quest. How to communicate effectively with helpers and agency representatives eludes them, although some can be quite cordial with a select few as part of their strategy. Usually those engaged with them very quickly have their competence challenged and their patience sorely tried. Some litigants may appear at corporate or legal offices, dramatically presenting their self-authored “briefs” to receptionists or support staff – a very disturbing or frightening occurrence for an office.

According to Australian psychiatrists Paul Mullen and Grant Lester and their associates, querulous describes “a pattern of behavior involving the unusually persistent pursuit of a personal grievance in a manner seriously damaging to the individual’s economic, social, and personal interests, and disruptive to the functioning of the courts and/or other agencies attempting to resolve the claims.”

#### *The querulous litigant is different than simply a difficult complainant*

Querulousness falls along a continuum, and is not generally considered to include “difficult complainants,” those campaigning for social reform, or most whistle blowers seeking to uncover real or perceived corruption, however mistrustful and disruptive such individuals or groups may be. These subjects generally remain within normal legal structures, have a reasonably legitimate or at least understandable social agenda (such as economic reform), and/or ultimately accept some settlement.

Querulous behavior, according to Mullen and Lester, involves a “totally disproportionate investment of time and resources in grievances that grow steadily from the mundane to the grandiose.” Resolutions not only require “an apology, reparation, and/or compensation but retribution and personal vindication” – objectives beyond what courts can bestow. As Western society and organizations have expanded the opportunities and avenues for individuals to present or appeal grievances and pursue justice, a side effect may be the enabling of abuse. In our experience these individuals often reveal grand fantasies of their ultimate vindication unveiled dramatically at the highest judicial levels, accompanied by criminal punishment and public humiliation of their wrong doers. It can start with a relatively minor issue. Being bypassed for a promotion can eventually lead to a bizarre, life-engrossing campaign to uncover and bring down “global conspiracies.”

In meetings the querulous may insist on taking notes or recording the session. Such individuals do not collaborate well with others, and will dismiss lawyers, union representatives, and other helpers. They may even call for the disbaring of attorneys and the dismissal or prosecution of other officials. In the courtroom they may make unruly remarks to the judge, inviting sanctions. Some may show a keen awareness of the lines not to cross so as to avoid contempt charges or jail terms. If an individual is declared a vexatious litigant by the courts, due to their accumulated frivolous lawsuits, they can be prohibited from filing further actions unless granted permission.

In the workplace or in academia these individuals will exhaust internal appeals processes, and are often eventually terminated for poor performance or misconduct, or denied tenure. They are typically very suspicious of the option to resign or benevolent severance offerings designed to preserve their dignity and provide transitional support. To accept them would be an act of weakness and a further humiliation. (It is true that some paranoids, usually in the midst of a dispute with their employer, will resign, as a way to reduce their anxiety and perhaps with the belief or hope that “conspirators” will not follow them to a new job.)

Mullen and Lester also denote a subgroup termed “unusually persistent petitioners,” who pursue their quest with voluminous petitions for help from prominent public figures. These individuals, who are usually more psychotic, regard standard response letters from politicians as evidence of support. Attacks on public figures are rare but possible.

#### *The root cause of querulous behavior*

Querulous behavior is likely rooted in a mental disorder, especially where paranoia and delusional phenomena contribute. In practice, without the benefit of a direct assessment, diagnostic distinctions are often difficult to

make. In our case experience paranoia and delusions are common and readily evident, and especially relevant to assessing risk. *Paranoia* can range from vague feelings of being persecuted in the absence of any facts that support such perceptions, to a highly developed, organized, and fantastic set of beliefs that are clearly delusional. A *delusion* is a firmly held belief lacking any basis in fact, uninfluenced by objective evidence or rational argument, and contrary to an individual's educational or cultural background.

Many of these individuals are otherwise functional. A good number have families and at least some friends, jobs and careers, and may even be technically talented. However, the felt insult from their real or perceived grievance stimulates and reveals their underlying hypersensitivity and vulnerability, leading them to become completely consumed in a self-destructive quest for vindication. Professional colleagues may be astonished and feel understandably betrayed to learn of accusations that they have violated policy or laws, or that their research is "fraudulent." With querulants there is frequently a history of losses and accumulated setbacks. Often they have never felt truly appreciated, their disgruntlement amplified by a self-righteous and self-important narcissistic outlook. A depressed mode may be in the mix, although their adamant and aggressive style may mask it. Their requests move to demands, from there to recriminations, and then possibly to threats to harm others: "If I go down, the firm is going with me."

*How do we assess and monitor for violence risk in these scenarios?*

The risk factors incorporated within the WAVR-21 (Workplace Assessment of Violence Risk) tap the domain of interest in assessing most instances of querulous behavior. Whether or not the subject is or was an employee, the crux is fixation on individuals or symbolic targets within a workplace, including agencies or the courts. We then look for a certain build-up of "perfect storm" ingredients:

- An intractable and unflinching belief in the rightness of their issue, with a desperate need for "total victory." This position leaves the subject psychologically trapped if their definition of "justice" is not bestowed on him or her. The ultimate denial of their claim, appeals or official attention paid to them is the potential "triggering event" and humiliating loss that we always look for in threat cases.
- The withdrawal or end of key family support or at least tolerance during a prolonged campaign.
- The exhaustion of financial resources that allow survival and stability during a legal quest, in some cases related to the end of spousal support. Their destitute state adds stress to the spiral of loss and can contribute to a depressed, angry mood.
- Failure to move on to future employment. They are extremely attached to the "mission" versus a paying job. Our current recession does not help, as some may initially try for re-employment, are unsuccessful, and then refocus on a previous employer or its legal representatives.
- Very critical is the paranoid or delusional perception of malevolence by adversaries with the possibility of an accompanying decision to carry out a preemptive attack against perceived conspirators and others who have failed the subject. The motives, which can be complex and changeable, may be to either punish adversaries or protect oneself from physical harm. Clinical assessment specialists will look for relevant diagnostic categories where paranoia or paranoid tendencies are common or predominant: delusional disorder persecutory type (the presence of non-bizarre delusions, i.e., events that could actually occur in real life, such as being followed, poisoned, betrayed, or having one's computer hacked), paranoid personality disorder (excessive sensitivity and suspiciousness, tendency to bear grudges, and a combative sense of personal rights), paranoid schizophrenia, and in some instances the manic phase of bipolar disorder.
- A strong sense of entitlement, usually as a reflection of narcissistic traits or personality disorder. The combination with paranoid or delusional beliefs fuels the risk.

- Beyond actual threats to harm, any communication stating or implying that “violence is justified.” Threats may be directly communicated to a target, or more often “leaked” to a third party. Hopefully these are detected and reported. There may be allusions to others who have attacked court or other officials or executives, i.e., identification with previous perpetrators.
- In certain instances, health-related losses and life changing injuries that lead to a damaged personal identity, lowered self-esteem, and a sense of hopelessness. Some cases originate with workers compensation disputes.
- Other evidence of behaviors indicative of a “pathway to violence”: weapons capacity, acquisition, or increased practice; probing for access to targets; final acts such as otherwise unexplainable expressions of “good-bye.”
- The static factor of a history of violence and criminality.

An advantage of legal or litigious campaigns is the subject’s frequent written communications and documents that can serve as a window into his or her thinking, goals, and intent. Increasing desperation can be sensed and triggering events anticipated. At times it is very difficult to identify an individual posing an increasing risk, due to the querulant’s pattern of emotional outbursts and frequent use of dire-sounding language and ultimatums. Careful study of his behavioral patterns over time, as well as watching for mounting personal stressors, can help make these distinctions more accurately.

#### *Case management strategies*

A case involving a vexatious employee litigant is first of all a legal matter, to be resolved through the organization’s usual protocols for addressing and resolving internal complaints. Threat assessment will run parallel to these processes and will inform and influence the actions the organization takes in managing the complainant or litigant. As always, “safety first.” (A case could also involve a former employee or an outsider, perhaps a customer, patient, or plaintiff now fixated on the organization or its representatives).

An employer typically will be able to easily identify the querulous litigant through the traits and behaviors described above, such as the employee’s self-created voluminous “documentation” and feedback received from managers or HR personnel who have attempted to manage the employee. These managers will often complain, “He just won’t listen to reason and now wants me off the investigation. He’s accusing people who have had nothing to do with his case!”

Once identified, the organization will benefit from keeping the following case management strategies in mind:

- Those involved in defending claims by the querulous employee need to be briefed about the nature of querulousness and cautioned to resist becoming emotionally hooked and to avoid any form of disrespectful or demeaning response to the individual. These cases are not for beginners.
- When querulous tendencies are detected employers should be especially careful with their due diligence since any factual error or procedural misstep will justify suspiciousness and fuel outrage.
- Professionalism at all times should be stressed. Those communicating with the employee about his or her complaints should make statements such as, “My evidence does not support your allegations, but I respect your point of view and understand this is very important to you.” Attempts to dissuade the querulous employee by pointing out the limits of what can be achieved through litigation may help, but often does not.
- Viable face saving exits should be offered where possible, but again, nothing short of millions of dollars in “damages” and public declarations of institutional wrongdoing may suffice to appease the employee.

- Ongoing threat assessment and appropriate monitoring is reassuring to case handlers. However, direct face-to-face risk assessments by clinicians can increase the probability that the subject will then expand his claim to include discrimination. In addition former employees are not compelled to cooperate with the process. Notwithstanding these obstacles, the employer should always take the querulant's threats seriously. Depending on the circumstances of a given case, he should be confronted by well-prepared case managers and/or security or law enforcement officials. Threatening communications and gestures may be just another way for the subject to keep attention focused on his plight, but that must be determined.
- In-person proceedings, such as depositions and hearings – normally tense – are hot points. The subject often represents himself *pro per* either by choice or because no reasonably minded attorney cares to defend him. A querulant will likely relish the occasion to attack deponents' testimony and otherwise push the boundaries of proper conduct. They may commence in a fairly organized fashion, to then unravel and ramble on, or regress to a personal verbal attack. This causes fear, and the risk for assault or violence may not be zero. The presence of security professionals should be considered, and with no apologies to the subject because "reasonable people are concerned and we routinely take safety precautions." Limit setting in general is always appropriate to counter the querulant's sense of entitlement.
- Individuals prone to paranoia or delusions may decompensate, especially under high stress, and become acutely psychotic, agitated, and threatening. This should lead to immediate measures to detain or hospitalize them involuntarily, or if this cannot be affected, to harden any targets and take other security measures.

#### *Can querulants benefit from treatment?*

By nature vexatious litigants do not typically seek treatment, due to their mistrust and fixed belief that the problem does not dwell within. One exception is seeking treatment to justify or prove "emotional injury" but with no serious intent to use therapeutic help to overcome their symptoms. Employers may also remind stressed grievants of the availability of employee assistance services (EAP) or other mental health resources. To otherwise require treatment or frame the issue as a "fitness for duty" scenario is usually fraught with legal minefields, and in our experience offers little strategic case management advantage.

Querulous-related paranoid behaviors most readily treatable with anti-psychotic medications are paranoid schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. However, in our experience the most common disorders related to strident querulousness are paranoid personality disorder and persecutory delusional disorder. Individuals with either of these disorders are known as reluctant help-seekers if not outright rejecters of it. Professional opinion has traditionally been that delusional disorders rarely respond to medication. However, more recent evidence suggests that delusions can be successfully treated approximately 50% of the time with what are known as second-generation anti-psychotic medications. Providers will empirically test which medication will help a particular patient, if the opportunity affords itself. The larger problem is the inherent resistance to treatment. Mullen and Lester note that atypical antipsychotics may be helpful in their treatment setting of mostly court-referred patients. Unfortunately, the response is slow and improvement may take months to be evident. Maintaining a therapeutic alliance is extremely challenging. Providers need to avoid getting drawn into the right-versus-wrong of the patient's claim. The focus instead should be on the cost to one's family and finances in continuing the pursuit.

If a vexatious litigant is convicted of a crime, such as for violence, threats or criminal stalking – due to a major mental disorder – he or she could eventually be entered into a mental or behavioral health court. These courts combine judicial supervision with community mental health treatment where appropriate, and are intended to reduce recidivism and increase public safety. Sentencing would depend on the seriousness of the

crime and the subject's history of previous convictions. In more serious criminal cases, psychotic individuals may be evaluated in forensic or prison settings and possibly deemed unable to understand the charges against them or incapable of assisting counsel in their defense.

In conclusion, the querulous vexatious litigant is highly challenging for those managers who encounter these individuals, and for their threat assessment teams and professionals who become engaged with them. The risk factors for violence can be identified and assessed, using the WAVR-21 or another structured professional guide or format. Perhaps the biggest challenge is adequate but non-intrusive monitoring for "red flag" changes over what is frequently an extended period of time. Enlisting case handlers and other stakeholders in this endeavor, as well as providing them coaching, is important in assuring safer outcomes and an anxiety-reducing process.

A final quote by Mullen and Lester is apropos in understanding the stakes for a querulent individual: "They are like gamblers with no way out of the devastation they have wrought but through a really big win." Watch for occasions when the dice are about to be rolled.

## References

Manschreck, T.C., & Khan, N.L. (2006). Recent advances in the treatment of delusional disorder. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 51: 114–119.

McManus, F.B. (2008). The psychiatry of unusually persistent litigants. *Litigant in Person*. Retrieved November 10, 2011 from <http://litigant.blogspot.com/2008/03/psychiatry-of-unusually-persistent.html>.

Mullen, P. E., & Lester, G. (2006). Vexatious litigants and unusually persistent complainants and petitioners: From querulous paranoia to querulous behavior. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 24: 333-349.

Smith, D.A., & Buckley, P.F. (2006). Pharmacotherapy of delusional disorders in the context of offending and the potential for compulsory treatment. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 24: 351-367.

## Related Material

- [Our Philosophy](#)

The [wavr21.com](http://wavr21.com) website is fully devoted to information about the instrument and its secure, browser application, [The WAVR-21 Threat Assessment App](#).

The WAVR-21 Threat Assessment App is available for licensing and is currently issued by the software developer, [Resolver](#). For pricing and to request a live, 1-on-1 demo of the app, click here [click here](#) to visit Resolver's website.

The hard copy WAVR-21 manual can be purchased from Specialized Training Services ([STS](#)).



## Related Material

- [Initial Consultation](#)

## Newsletters

- [Is Workplace or Campus Violence Reactive Anger or Deliberate Planning?: Revisiting a Recurrent Question](#)
- [What Motivates Public Figure Attackers?](#)
- [A Short Treatise on Narcissism: From Normal to Risk for Violence](#)
- [The WAVR-21 Third Edition](#)
- [Autism Spectrum Disorder and Violence: Myths, Facts, and Threat Assessment](#)

[Newsletter Archives](#)

## Publications

“Workplace Violence: Practical Considerations for Mental Health Professionals in Consultation, Assessment, and Management of Risk.” P. Saragoza & S.G. White. Elsevier, 2016.

[View the article](#)

“The Challenge to Connect the Dots.” S.G. White. NACUA, 2009.

[View the article](#)

## WTS Services

- [Threat Assessment](#)

## Quick Links

- [Warning Signs](#)

---

**From:** Mark Vander Boegh <[mvanderboegh@gmail.com](mailto:mvanderboegh@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 7, 2018 11:22 AM

**To:** [cjones2424@charter.net](mailto:cjones2424@charter.net)

**Cc:** Gary Vander Boegh; Knight, Wesley; UN-NAMED BENEFICIARY Kimberly Faith Jones ([kimberlyfaithjones@gmail.com](mailto:kimberlyfaithjones@gmail.com)); Moira Jones ([mjones32k@msn.com](mailto:mjones32k@msn.com)); BOK CEO & PRESIDENT STEVE BRADSHAW ([sbradshaw@bokf.com](mailto:sbradshaw@bokf.com));

[lisakathleenprice@gmail.com](mailto:lisakathleenprice@gmail.com); GLENN JONES ([gjones19483@gmail.com](mailto:gjones19483@gmail.com)); Brian Vander Boegh ([Bvanderboegh@aol.com](mailto:Bvanderboegh@aol.com)); NAMED BENEFICIARY KYM BICHON ([kbichon@gmail.com](mailto:kbichon@gmail.com)); DONNA KAY WRAY ([d\\_ray803@hotmail.com](mailto:d_ray803@hotmail.com)); JEFF SESSIONS ASKDOJ ([askdoj@jmdpublic.doj.gov](mailto:askdoj@jmdpublic.doj.gov)); President Trump and VP Mike Pence ([info@mail.whitehouse.gov](mailto:info@mail.whitehouse.gov)); CHICAGO FBI OFFICE ([chicago@ic.fbi.gov](mailto:chicago@ic.fbi.gov)); C. Dean Furman ([dean@lawdean.com](mailto:dean@lawdean.com))  
**Subject:** Re: REQUEST FOR JFT BENEFICIARY ASSEST DOCUMENTS HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW BELOW!

Chuck,

Let me tell you and the others what you've done. First of all, you took the stand in Evansville and told the judge that Old National Bank should accept the 3-tiered royalty proposal by Martin Marietta that would have resulted in huge losses to all beneficiaries for the remainder of the lease. Do you remember? Did you forget that you testified in favor of huge extra profits for Martin Marietta? 19 cents per ton for the first 3 million tons, 17 cents for the next 2 million tons and 15 cents per ton for any tons over 5 million! Have you told Keith's children what you did. Have you told them how you sided with Martin Marietta to allow huge losses to the Jones Family Trust?

Remember when you and Moira threatened to sue the Bank if Oklahoma if they took the Trusts? Remember when you and Moira met BOK officials and suddenly came away satisfied?

You continue to support BOK's waiving of all breaches to keep all beneficiaries in the lease that expert Stuart Limb said was "the worst I've ever seen."

You came into the courtroom in Paducah and sat with John Bilby to oppose beneficiaries who were trying to get better terms for everyone. You sat with John Bilby (who has drawn millions of dollars from all beneficiaries) while Dr. William Baldwin testified that the beneficiaries would lose hundreds of millions of dollars through the end of the lease if the terms went unchanged. Lisa Price told us that the university economics professor's numbers were "delusional." An elementary math teacher could easily confirm his findings. That's how far below limestone market rates we are! Of course, Lisa Price was caught lying to the judge that she'd never attempted to become the Trustee!

She forgot the email from Martin Marietta attorney Tom Herman stating that LISA PRICE HAD BROUGHT HIM THE TRUST DOCUMENTS IN HER ATTEMPTS TO BECOME TRUSTEE! I think that sentence is worthy of caps don't you agree CHUCK JONES?

I studied criminal investigation in college in the process of obtaining my law enforcement degree. I had an opportunity to join the FBI in the late 1970's but it doesn't take an FBI Agent to recognize that corruption runs rampant in BOK's management of the Jones Family Trusts. BOK will do anything necessary (example: manipulation of engineering data) to keep those hundreds of millions of dollars in the pockets of those who "have nothing to do with the quarry" as stated by Jimmy Jones.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 7, 2018, at 3:25 AM, [cjones2424@charter.net](mailto:cjones2424@charter.net) wrote:

Everyone, there is so much that I have trouble grasping when I get around to looking at these emails and trying to figure out just what it is that I'm accused of doing. I'll bet some of the other alleged malefactors are also wondering the same thing about themselves.

Well, this is from about six months ago - ( got to start somewhere )- and will try to go forward from there.

Things that I'm pondering : *Is it true that a sociopath should never be engaged ?*

*What would cause someone to hate their " betters " and try to destroy them rather than admiring and emulating them? What could the sparking event have been to cause such a personality disorder?*

*Are hallucinations ( like imagining being attacked by quixotic "cold-war figures" ) something that we should ignore in our relatives or should we worry that we might be genetically vulnerable ourselves ? Write me for a explanation if this is new to you...*

*And one more thing to ponder : Considering just the recurrent traits of these emails - the excessive punctuation , bright colors , all caps, large print - different fonts, ultimatums such as COB this Friday or else, intimidation involving DOJ, FBI and ,of course the ever present meaningless sign- offs by 50 Year Beneficiary, Federal WhistleBlower, Sanitary Engineer etc. etc., the question is - Is it not CRAZY for us to think this is Normal ???*

*I would like to assign as part of your reading list : The Vexatious Litigant Work Trauma Services ( You FBI guys can skip this homework - I know you're already familiar with it. )*

*Just type it in your search bar and I promise you'll be surprised and amazed !*

*Pride goeth before destruction, and a haughty spirit before a fall-*

*Proverbs 16-18*

Chuck,

---

**From:** "Gary Vander Boegh"  
**To:** Wesley", "landerson@bokf.com.", "UN-NAMED BENEFICIARY Kimberly Faith Jones (kimberlyfaithjones@gmail.com)", "Moir Jones (mjones32k@msn.com)", "Chuck Jones (cjones2424@charter.net)", "BOK CEO & PRESIDENT STEVE BRADSHAW (sbradshaw@bokf.com)", "lisakathleenprice@gmail.com"  
**Cc:** "GLENN JONES (gjones19483@gmail.com)", "Mark Vander Boegh (mvanderboegh@gmail.com)", "Brian Vander Boegh (Bvanderboegh@aol.com)", "NAMED BENEFICIARY KYM BICHON (kbichon@gmail.com)", "DONNA KAY WRAY (d\_ray803@hotmail.com)", "JEFF SESSIONS ASKDOJ (askdoj@jmdpublic.doj.gov)", "President

Trump and VP Mike Pence ([info@mail.whitehouse.gov](mailto:info@mail.whitehouse.gov)), "**CHICAGO FBI OFFICE**  
([chicago@ic.fbi.gov](mailto:chicago@ic.fbi.gov))", "C. Dean Furman ([dean@lawdean.com](mailto:dean@lawdean.com))"

Sent: 31-Aug-2017 16:50:01 +0000

Subject: RE: REQUEST FOR JFT BENEFICIARY ASSEST DOCUMENTS HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW BELOW!

**Wes, Kimberly, Steve, Moira, Lisa and Chuck,**

As a follow-up to my email dated 8/26/17 documenting that BOK has not yet provided several documents to the family as requested, is there any reason the Bank of Oklahoma is unwilling to provide the copies of the documents requested? In fact, although I have yet to receive a response confirming your hiring of SKO, from talking with beneficiaries you did, in fact, again engage SKO to represent BOK in the removal matter before the "Jones Family Trustee Removal Committee!" Let me run through a couple of things for you each (President Bradshaw and Trustee Wes McKnight). The beneficiaries provide you with our "Undisputed Findings of Fact!"

1/ Kimberly Faith Jones, is fully aware that 49 year Jones Family Beneficiaries have been actively proceeding with the removal of BOK after BOK refused to "cease and desist" efforts "negotiate" rather than proceed to Arbitration as required by the 1988 Amendment. Former BOK trustee Chris Rooker was warned NOT to proceed with accepting a flat rate royalty when the lease allowed renegotiation to a percentage royalty rate on 10% to 12% of the F.O.B limestone price posted at the 3 Rivers Quarry. Kimberly Faith Jones made an unsuccessful effort to reason with the BOK Trustee, but her efforts failed.

2/ Within the last 45 days Kimberly Faith Jones led the efforts to remove the Bank of Oklahoma as Trustee and interviewed at least one or more replacement candidates. If necessary, I will be glad to forward these communications to you through Kimberly Faith Jones to remind you EACH that beneficiaries have the absolute right under the uniform Trust Code to make an adult determination that, **"YOU'RE TRUSTEE SERVICES ARE NO LONGER REQUIRED!"**

3/ Kimberly Faith Jones has been requested to preserve all phone and text messages with the former and present Trustee, especially from the time she was involved in a phone conversation with a "Consultant" that recommended the solution to BOK Trustee Abuses was simply termination of BOK. It was at this point that Kimberly Faith Jones (among several beneficiaries on the recorded phone call) began the BOK removal petition in compliance with the Uniform Trust Code effective July 15, 2014). The "Uniform Trust Code" may provide the family

reimbursement of all attorney fees should BOK attempt to interfere with the Ky. Revised Statues!

4/ As of today, 8/31/17, the BOK has refused to provide the Jones Family Beneficiaries with the documents requested in the attached email. The Deadline of COB Monday August 28, 2017, passed without receipt of subject documents. On Monday 8/28/17, after receipt of the requested information made on August 26, 2017, BOK paid approx.. \$10 postage and sent virtually identical documents presented by SKO attorney John Bilby, who ironically is now listed as representing BOK as counsel as a "Respondent!" Although the documents were said to be over 2" thick, "NO DOCUMENTS WERE RECEIVED" as the Jones Beneficiaries originally requested on 8/26/17. BOK has not been responsive to the beneficiaries request and therefore shall no longer be considered representing the best interest of the beneficiaries in Kentucky, Illinois, Tennessee, and Florida.

Please contact me at (270) 559-1752 if you have changed your position **NOT** to provide documents for the purposes of a QA/ QC review/ audit to ensure monetary "kickbacks" were not involved in your "PAST" decision making process as recently noted by "Un-named" JFT beneficiary Charles (Chuck) Jones and perhaps others not yet identified.

Your timely response is welcomed in an effort to set aside the rumors of "KICKBACKS" in exchange for "below market royalties" of "High Calcium Carbonate Limestone" from the Three Rivers Quarry located in Smithland, Kentucky.

With Kindest Regards,

Gary S. Vander Boegh  
DOJ/FBI Witness

---

**From:** Knight, Wesley [mailto:WKnight@bokf.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 30, 2017 4:45 PM  
**To:** Gary Vander Boegh  
**Subject:** Automatic reply: REQUEST FOR JFT BENEFICIARY ASSEST DOCUMENTS HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW BELOW!

Thank you for your email. I will be away from the office on Wednesday and Thursday with only limited access to email. For assistance while I am away, please contact Lori Anderson at (405) 936-3908 or [landerson@bokf.com](mailto:landerson@bokf.com).

Thank you,

Wesley S. Knight, CPA, CFP®  
SVP | Market Manager - Personal Trust  
The Private Bank at Bank of Oklahoma  
9520 N. May Avenue; Suite 200  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73120  
Direct | (405) 936-3929  
Email | [wknight@bokf.com](mailto:wknight@bokf.com)

Relationship Associate | [Lori Anderson](mailto:Lori Anderson) | (405) 936-3908 | [landerson@bokf.com](mailto:landerson@bokf.com)

\*\*\*\*\*

The company reserves the right to amend statements made herein in the event of a mistake. Unless expressly stated herein to the contrary, only agreements in writing signed by an authorized officer of the Company may be enforced against it.

\*\*\*\*\*